

## JTF-PSL Conference Call Agenda/Notes

6/17/16

1pm Eastern/12pm Central /11am Mountain/10am Pacific

Dial (855) 925-3266.

- When prompted enter meeting ID (21069), then #
- When prompted speak your name, then enter #

Minutes: Heather Smedberg

Present: Sarah Horowitz, Heather Smedberg, Julie Grob, Bill Landis, Gordon Daines, Sammie Morris, Lisa Sjoberg

Absent: Robin Katz, Leah Richardson, Samantha Crisp, Anne Bahde, Morgan Swan

### **Hellos, Roll Call, Announcements, administrative updates**

- Heather submitted report along with draft to RBMS Exec Committee and ACRL Standards Committee, and got positive feedback from RBMS folks.

### **Discussion of comprehensive plan for gathering feedback on our draft over the remainder of the summer (Heather/Communication subgroup) - bulk of the call**

- Ref: Communications subgroup report from December 2015:  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-jPNpCvr8P68f\\_b2cbPd3kMxfY5-MMS3LIlyKQoWruU/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-jPNpCvr8P68f_b2cbPd3kMxfY5-MMS3LIlyKQoWruU/edit)
- Feedback from RBMS Web team.
  - Link to hypothes.is tool that RBMS web team has set up for us to crowdsource annotations (you have to create an account to annotate and read others' annotations) <http://rbms.info/digress/primarysourceliteracy/draft-guidelines/>
  - **Morgan and Sam**, please make sure that this link gets posted on both the ALA Connect and SAA websites for our group.
- Brainstorming document on topics we know we want feedback on:  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/18Rj-EzWzunwHxR9e0cU\\_qObbDkj0noMyc6RHI-crAY4/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/18Rj-EzWzunwHxR9e0cU_qObbDkj0noMyc6RHI-crAY4/edit)
- Who should we be reaching out to:
  - SAA RAO Section: once Sam has the link to the hypothes.is
  - Ask some RBMS tweeters to tweet our desire for feedback (people who have a lot of Twitter followers).
    - Colleen Thiessen, John Overholt, Lynn Thomas, Shannon Supple, others? (after RBMS/ALA, so schedule is clearer)

- Unconference on Weds. of SAA meeting: Julie, Gordon, and Robin at least are going. If any of them want to propose something formal to discuss this, they should. Possibly focus on one of the topics we really want to have feedback on? Julie will take the lead on doing something like this.
- Groups to contact: AHA Teaching wing, not sure who to contact within OAH, Bill will look at H-Net groups to see if there's one on teaching, rhetoric/composition educators, maybe AASLH?, look at the list from the Other Guidelines group report from the Fall.
- Committee members should reach out to faculty/librarians/others that they know who might be interested. Keep running list of who we've contacted so we don't have too much overlap.
- Webinars/conference call targeted at specific groups (suggested by a visitor at our Mid-winter meeting in Boston). Maybe use this at the point where we've assessed our July-August feedback and can really drill down to focus on specific issues where we want more input?
  - Maybe use Google forms to get really focused, targeted feedback? Maybe for historians and other external groups? Also loop in English and Art History faculty, since they typically use special collections more heavily. Also ethnic studies, and other cross-disciplinary researchers who we might otherwise miss.
- Heather will set up a Gmail account that we can use to solicit feedback from those outside our group.
- Reserve July-August for gathering feedback - gets us through RBMS/ALA, SAA, and the IFLA presentation that some of our group are doing after SAA.
- Launch into 2nd revised draft in September.

### **Additional issues we need to be thinking about this summer?**

#### **Introduce SAA case studies as something we might do in year 2 to enhance understanding of our guidelines (Bill) - last 5 minutes**

- Ref: Case Studies in Archival Ethics (tied to the SAA Code of Ethics for Archivists): <http://archivists.org/groups/committee-on-ethics-and-professional-conduct/case-studies-in-archival-ethics#.V2QE5rsrLiw>
- Ref: How to Submit a Case Study (includes template of elements that the Committee on Ethics and Professional Conduct wants to see in each ethics case study): <http://archivists.org/groups/committee-on-ethics-and-professional-conduct/call-for-case-studies-in-archival-ethics#.V2QFQ7srLiw>
- Gordon supports this notion!
- Maybe a case study(ies) on moving from guidelines to learning outcomes in specific settings or contexts?

#### **Next conference calls:**

- **July 1 call focus:** Will be hosted by Heather (same call-in info) and focus on reporting out about meetings at RBMS/ALA.
- **July 15 call focus:** What do we want to do with our lunchtime brown bag and meeting time at SAA?